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#### Abstract

A variational inequality that describes shell contact with a rigid stamp on the boundary is investigated. A non-negative measure characrerizing the action of the stamp on the shell is constructed on subsets of the boundary. The regularity of the solution is established.


A number of results referring to the investigation of variational inequalities describing contact problems for elastic bodies under unilateral contiguity conditions has been obtained at this time. In particular, the contact problem for a three-dimensional elastic body (Signorini's problem) was considered in $/ 1 /$. Its more general formulation for the case of a stamp whose surface does not agree with the elastic body boundary is proposed $/ 2 /$ and then investigated /3/. Unilateral contact problems were considered for plates / / / and shells/5/ with a constraint within the domain.

Let us consider a boundary value problem for linear shallow shell equations with conditions on part of the boundary $\Gamma_{0}$ having the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{3} \geqslant 0, T\left(u_{3}\right) \geqslant 0, u_{3} T\left(u_{3}\right)=0, M\left(u_{3}\right)=0  \tag{1}\\
& -U_{n} \geqslant 0,-N_{n} \geqslant 0, U_{n} N_{n}=0, N_{\tau}=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $M\left(u_{3}\right), T\left(u_{3}\right)$ are the bending moment and transverse force, $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right), U_{n}=u_{i} u_{i}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are, respectively, the tangential and normal displacements of points of the shell, $n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is the external normal to the boundary, $N_{n}=N_{i j} n_{j} n_{i}, N_{i j}$ is the force in the middle surface, $N_{r}$ is the tangential component of the force vector on the boundary. Summation is over repeated subscripts $i, j$. The boundary conditions formulated correspond to unilateral shell contact on the boundary with a rigid stamp and allow separation of the shell points from the stamp in both the $x_{1} x_{3}$ plane and in a direction normal to the middle surface. The separation condition is ensured by the possibility of satisfying the strict inequalities $u_{3}>0$ or $-U_{n}>0$. In this case $T\left(u_{3}\right)=0$, or respectively, $N_{n}=0$. If $T\left(u_{3}\right)>0$ or $-N_{n}>0$, then, correspondingly, $u_{3}=0 \quad$ and $\quad U_{n}=0$.

We will introduce a number of notations and construct an exact formulation of the problem. Let $\Omega \subset R^{2}$ be a bounded domain with the smooth boundary $\Gamma$ represented in the form of the union of two parts: $\Gamma=\Gamma_{0} \cup \Gamma_{1}$. For simplicity, we assume that $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\Gamma_{1}$ are arcs where the length of $\Gamma_{1}$ is greater than zero. We let $H_{r_{1}}{ }^{1}(\Omega)$ denote the sobolev space obtained by the closure of smooth functions equal to zero in the neighbourhood of $r_{1}$ in $H^{1}(\Omega)$. The space $H_{\Gamma_{1}}{ }^{2}(\Omega)$ is defined similarly. Also let $H(\Omega)=H_{\Gamma_{1}}{ }^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{\Gamma_{1}}{ }^{1} \times H_{\Gamma_{1}}{ }^{2}(\Omega)$, $\|\cdot\|_{s}$ be the norm in $H^{s}(\Omega)$.
We consider the shell energy functional

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi(\omega)=\Pi_{1}(\omega)-2 \int_{\Omega} F \omega d x, \quad \omega=\left(u_{1}, u_{2,} u_{3}\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \left.\Pi_{1}(\omega)=B\left(u_{3}, u_{3}\right)+\int_{\Omega}\left\{\varepsilon_{11^{2}}+\varepsilon_{22}^{2}+2 \sigma \varepsilon_{11} \varepsilon_{22}+\frac{1}{2}(1-\sigma) \varepsilon_{12}\right\}\right\} d x \\
& \varepsilon_{11}=u_{1 x_{1}}+k_{11} u_{3}, \varepsilon_{23}=u_{2 x_{2}}+k_{22} u_{3}, \varepsilon_{12}=u_{1 x_{2}}+u_{2 x_{1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $F=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ is the vector of the given forces, $k_{11}, k_{23} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ are the curvatures, $\sigma$ is Poisson's ratio, and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Omega$. The bilinear form $B(\cdot$,$) is defined below by / 7 /$.

Furthermore, we introduce the closed convex set in $H(\Omega)$

$$
K=\left\{\omega=\left(U, u_{3}\right) \in H(\Omega) \mid u_{8} \geqslant 0,-U \in 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \Gamma_{0}\right\}
$$

and we consider the problem of minimizing the energy functional $I(\omega)$ in the set $K$. It is equivalent to solving the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \in K:\left\langle\Pi^{\prime}(\omega), \chi-\omega\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \mathrm{v}_{\chi} \geqslant K \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Pi^{\prime}(\omega)$ is the gradient of the functional $\Pi$ at the point $\omega$.
At can be shown that a solution of the problem exists.
We obtain from inequality (4) that the following equations will be satisfied in the distribution sense in the domain $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} u_{3}+k_{11} N_{11}+k_{22} N_{22}=f_{3} ;-\partial N_{i j} / \partial x_{j}=f, i=1,2  \tag{5}\\
& N_{11}=\varepsilon_{11}+\sigma \varepsilon_{22}, N_{22}=\varepsilon_{22}+\sigma \varepsilon_{11}, N_{12}=1 / 2(1-\sigma) \varepsilon_{12}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove this fact it is sufficient to substitute $\omega+\omega_{0}$ as $x$ into inequality (4), where $\omega_{0} \equiv C_{0}{ }^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is an arbitrary function.

Furthermore, we wite the formulas for the moment and tiansverse force

$$
\begin{align*}
& M\left(u_{3}\right)=\sigma \Delta u_{3}+(1-\sigma) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial n^{2}}  \tag{6}\\
& T\left(u_{3}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \Delta u_{3}-(1-\sigma) \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}}{\partial n \partial \tau}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\tau=\left(-n_{2}, n_{1}\right)$ is a vector tangent to $r$. We also introduce a bilinear form that takes part in the representation of the energy functional $\Pi$ ( $\omega$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\varphi, \psi)=\int_{\Omega}\left\{\varphi_{x_{1} x_{1}} \psi_{x_{1} x_{1}}+\varphi_{x_{2} x_{2}} \psi_{x_{2} x_{2}}+\sigma\left(\varphi_{x_{1} x_{1}} \psi_{x_{2} x_{2}}+\varphi_{x_{2} x_{2}} \psi_{x_{1} x_{1}}\right)+2(1-\sigma) \varphi_{x_{1} x_{2}} \psi_{x_{1} x_{2}}\right\} d x \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The formal foundation (assuming sufficient regularity of the solution) of the fact that the boundary conditions (1) and (2) will be satisfied on $\Gamma_{0}$ can be obtained by using Green's formula for a biharmonic operator and the operator of the plane problem of elasticity theory.

An exact mathematical meaning can be given to the boundary conditions (1) and (2). To do this it is necessary to use theorems about traces. It follows from the first equation in (5) that $\Delta^{2} u_{3} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Moreover, from the fact that $u_{3}$ belongs to the space $H^{2}(\Omega)$, we have $u_{9} \in H^{/ / 3}(\Gamma), \partial u_{3} / \partial n \in H^{1 / 2}(\Gamma)$ on the boundary $\Gamma$. According to $/ 6 /$, for the elements of the space $\left\{w \in H^{2}(\Omega) \mid \Delta^{2} w \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\}$ it is possible to determine $M(w) \in H^{-1 / 2}(\Gamma), T(w) \in H^{-1 / 2}$ ( $\Gamma$ ), where the generalized Green's Cormula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(w, \psi)=\left\langle\Delta^{2} w, \psi\right\rangle+\langle T(w), \psi\rangle_{t / 1}+\left\langle M(w), \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial n}\right\rangle_{1 /}, \forall \psi \in H^{2}(\Omega) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Here $H^{-s}(\Gamma)$ is the space that is topologically conjugate to the space $H^{s}(\Gamma)$, and the brackets $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle s$ denote the duality between $H^{-s}(\Gamma)$ and $H^{s}(\Gamma)$. The conditions on the boundary operators $M, T$ necessary for the correctness of this result are confirmed in $/ 7 /$. Thus the quantities $u_{3} T\left(u_{3}\right)$ in (1) allows of accurate interpretation.

It follows from (5) that $\partial N_{i j} / \partial x_{j}=L^{2}(\Omega)$. As is shown in $/ 8 /$, for the function $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ satisfying the inclusions $\varphi$, div $\varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega), \varphi_{i} n_{i} \equiv H^{-t f_{y}}(\Gamma)$ can be defined on the boundary. Consequently, $N_{i j} n_{j} \in H^{-1 / 2}(\mathrm{I})$. We hence obtain $N_{n} \in H^{-3 / 2}(\Gamma)$. Taking account of the inclusion $U_{n} \in$ $H^{1 /:}(\Gamma)$, the product $U_{n} N_{n}$ in (2) can also be given an exact meaning.

Non-negative measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ characterising the stamp reaction on the shell are constructed below in subsets of the boundaries $\Gamma_{0}$, $\partial \Gamma_{0}$. The measure $\mu_{2}$ characterises the reaction of the stamp in the $x_{1} x_{2}$ plane in the normal direction to the boundary, and $\mu_{1}$ in an orthogonal direction to the shell midale surface.

We introduce the space $C_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ of finite functions continuous in $\Gamma_{0}$ with the following convergence. We assume that $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow \varphi$ if $\varphi_{n}$ converges uniformiy to $\varphi$ and the carriers of all $\varphi_{n}$ belong to a fixed compactum $B \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$.

Theorem 1. The non-negative measures $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}$ for which the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Pi^{\prime}(\omega), \chi\right\rangle=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} v_{3} d \mu_{1}-\int_{r_{0}} V_{n} d \mu_{2}, \quad \forall \chi=\left(V, v_{3}\right) \in H(\Omega) \cap C_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds can be determined in the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of the boundary $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$.
Proof. We first note the following fact. Let $x_{0}=\left(0,0, v_{3}\right) \in H(\Omega)$ and $v_{3} \geqslant 0$ on $\Gamma_{0}$. Then $\left.\left\langle\Pi^{\prime}(\omega)\right)_{,} \chi_{0}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$
To prove this assertion it is sufficient to substitute the function $\omega+\chi_{0}$ as $\chi$ into the inequality (4). Furthermore, let $v_{3} \in H_{F_{2}}{ }^{2}(\Omega) \cap C_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ and $v_{3} *$ be the trace of this function on $\Gamma_{0}$ A linear manifold of all such functions on $\Gamma_{0}$ will be denoted by $V$. We define the linear functionai on $V$ by the formula

$$
L\left(v_{s}^{*}\right)=\left\langle\Pi^{\prime}(\omega), \chi\right\rangle, \chi=\left(0,0, v_{3}\right)
$$

The functional $I$. is defined uniquely by this formula. In fact, if $v_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{1} *}=v_{\mathbf{3}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 *}}$, then
according to (10) we have $L\left(v_{3} \mathbf{1}^{*}\right)=L\left(v_{3}{ }^{3 *}\right)$. Furthermore, we select an arbitrary element $v_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{*} \in$ $C_{0}{ }^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right) ; C_{0}{ }^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ of the space of finite functions on $\Gamma_{0}$ that have two continuous derivatives. The function $v_{3} *$ can be continued to zero on the whole boundary $r$ and then continued within the domain $\Omega$ such that it becomes a function of the class $H_{\Gamma_{1}}{ }^{2}(\Omega)$. This means that the lineal
$V$ contains all functions from $C_{0}{ }^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$. In continuity the functional $L$ is continued on $C_{0}$ ( $\Gamma_{0}$ ). At the same time an arbitrary linear positive functional on $C_{0}\left(r_{0}\right)$ is determined by the measure

$$
L\left(v_{3}^{*}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} v_{3}^{*} d \mu_{1}
$$

For a function $\chi \in H(\Omega) \cap C_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ of the form $\left(0,0, v_{3}\right)$ this denotes the validity of the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Pi^{\prime}(\omega), \chi\right\rangle=\int_{\Gamma_{0}} v_{3} d \mu_{1} \tag{i1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we note that the second and third equations in (5) with the boundary conditions (2) are the analogue of the two-dimensional signorini problem. The fact that the forces $N_{i j}$ depend on the deflection $u_{3}$ and the curvatures $k_{11}, k_{22}$ is not essential. Consequently, the measure $\mu_{2}$ can be constructed in the same way as in $/ 3 /$. Therefore, for any function $\chi \in H(\Omega) \cap C_{0}\left(\Gamma_{0}\right)$ of the form $\chi=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, 0\right)$ the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{I}^{\prime}(\omega), \chi\right\rangle=-\int_{\Gamma_{n}} V_{n} d \mu_{2}, \quad V=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of the additivity of (11) and (12) we obtain the representation (9).
The measures constructed take finite values in all the compacta $B \subset \Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$. The properties of the measure $\mu_{2}$ depend mainly on the regularity of the function $U$. In particular, available results on the smoothness of the solution of the signorini problem enable us to prove absolute continuity of the measure $\mu_{2}$ relative to the Lebesgue measure on $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$. Namely, for an arbitrary point $x \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$ there exists a neighbourhood $\Omega_{0}$ such that $U \in H^{2}\left(\Omega_{0} \cap \Omega\right)$. The density of the measure $\mu_{2}$ turns out to be equal to $-N_{n}$, where $N_{n} \in H_{10 \mathrm{c}}^{7 / 1}\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}\right)$. As regards the measure $\mu_{1}$, its properties are then determined by the smoothness of the function $u_{3}$.

Theorem 2. For an arbitrary point $x^{\hat{y}} \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \partial \Gamma_{0}$ a neighbourhood $\Omega_{0}$ exist to such that $u_{3} \in H^{s}\left(\Omega_{0}, \cap \Omega\right)$.

Proof. We place the origin at the point $x^{0}$ by considering the direction of the $x_{3}$ axis to be the same as the direction of the external normal to the boundary $r$. For simplicity, we still assume that a section of the boundary $\Gamma_{0}$ near $x^{\circ}$ is rectilinear. We set

$$
d_{\mathbf{\tau}} h(x)=\tau^{-1}\left[h\left(x+\tau e_{1}\right)-h(x)\right], \quad \Delta_{\tau}=-d_{-\tau} d_{\mathfrak{v}}
$$

Here $\tau>0$, and $e_{1}$ is the unit direction of the $x_{1}$ axis. Let $R_{0}$ denote a circle of radius $\delta$ with centre at the point $x^{\circ}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{6}^{\infty}\left(R_{8}\right), \varphi \equiv 1$ on $R_{\delta / 2}, 0 \leqslant \varphi \leqslant 1$, and $r<\delta / 2$. Then the function $u_{3 r}=u_{s}+1 /{ }^{2} \tau^{2} \varphi^{2} \Delta_{\tau} u_{s}$ satisfies the inequality $u_{3 r} \geqslant 0$ on $\Gamma_{0}$. Indeed, by considering the parameter $\delta$ to be sufficiently small, we have for $x \in \Gamma_{0}$

$$
u_{3 \tau}(x)=\left(1-\varphi^{2}(x)\right) u_{5}(x)+1 / 2 \varphi^{2}(x)\left(u_{3}\left(x+\tau e_{1}\right)+u_{3}\left(x-\tau e_{1}\right)\right) \geqslant 0
$$

This means that $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3 \tau}\right) \in K$. We substitute $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3 x}\right)$ as a test into inequality (4). We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(u_{3}, \varphi^{2} \Delta_{\tau} u_{3}\right)-\left\langle f_{3}-k_{11} N_{11}-k_{22} N_{22}, \varphi^{2} \Delta_{\tau} u_{3}\right\rangle \geqslant 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following chain is valid for which the difference between two successive terms is either zero or has a quantity as upper bound that is contained on the right-hand side of the inequality (14) obtained below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B\left(u_{3}, \varphi^{2} \Delta_{\tau} u_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow B\left(\varphi u_{\mathrm{a}}, \Delta_{\tau} \varphi u_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow B\left(\varphi u_{\mathrm{3}},-d_{-\tau} d_{\tau} \varphi u_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \quad-B\left(d_{\tau} \varphi u_{3}, d_{\tau} \varphi u_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second component in (13) is estimated more simply. It therefore follows from (13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\|d_{q}\left(\varphi u_{3}\right)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqslant c\left\|f_{s}\right\|_{0}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{8}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|u_{3}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|d_{v}\left(\varphi u_{s}\right)\right\|_{2}\left\|u_{s}\right\|_{3}\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the constant $c$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. We hence obtain the boundedness of $\left\|d_{\tau}\left(\varphi u_{s}\right)\right\|_{s}$ uniformly in $r$. This means that all three derivatives of $u_{3}$, with the exception of $\theta^{8} u_{3} / \partial x_{2}{ }^{3}$, belong to $L^{2}\left\{R_{0 / 2} \cap \Omega\right\}$. We write the first equation in (5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{4} u_{g} / \partial x_{2}^{4}=g \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from what was proved that $g \in H^{-1}\left(R_{s / 2} \cap \Omega\right)$. At the same time, we have $\partial^{3} u_{s} / \partial x_{2}{ }^{3} \in$ $H^{-1}\left(R_{8 / 2} \cap \Omega\right)$ from the fact that $u_{3}$ belongs to the space $H^{2}(\Omega)$. Together with (15) this yields $\partial^{3} u_{3} / \partial x_{2}{ }^{3} \in L^{2}\left(R_{\delta / 2} \cap \Omega\right)$, which indeed proves the theorem in this case. The following fact is used here. If $\varphi, \varphi_{x_{i}} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, then $\varphi \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ (see/9/). If the section of $\Gamma_{e}$ near the point $x^{\circ}$ is not rectilinear, then it is possible to make a change of variable with the unit Jacobian
$y_{1}=x_{1}, y_{2}=x_{2}-\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$. Here $x_{2}=\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$ is the equation of the boundary near the point $x^{\circ}$. The nature of the reasoning performed in this case is analoguous to that presented above.
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# multiple eigenvalues in optimization problems* 

A.P. SEIRANYAN

The problem of maximizing the minimum eigenvalue of a selfadjoint matrix operator is considered. The case when the optimum eigenvalue is multiple, i.e. the problem of optimization is discontinuous, is investigated. This problem has interesting applications in the optimum design of constructions /1-6/. The necessary conditions for a local maximum of the eigenvalue of arbitrary multiplicity $p$ with an isoperimetric limit are obtained. The paper generalizes the results obtained in $/ 7,8 /$ for the single and double case.
Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
A[h] u=\lambda B[h] u \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A[h]$ and $B[h]$ are positive-definite symmetric $m \times m$ matrices with coefficients $a_{i j}(h)$ and $b_{i j}(h)$, which depends contimuously on the components of the vector of the parameters $h$ of dimensions $n, u$ is an eigenvector of dimensions $m$, and $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue.

Problem (l) has a complete system of eigenvectors $u^{i}(i-1,2, \ldots, m$ ) and a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ corresponding to this system; we will assume that the orthogonality condition is satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B[h] u^{i}, u^{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. Here and henceforth the parenthesis denote the scalar product of vectors.

We will formulate the optimization problem as follows: it is required to obtain the vector of the parameters $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ for which the minimum eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of problem (1) reaches a maximum value under the conditions
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